



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

M/L

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,747	03/12/2004	Erin Leigh		6412
7590 Erin Leigh Wyckoff Apt. 29J 303 East 83rd Street New York, NY 10028		08/23/2007	EXAMINER ROSEN, ELIZABETH H	
			ART UNIT 3691	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 08/23/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/798,747	LEIGH ET AL.
	Examiner Elizabeth Rosen	Art Unit 3609

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 March 2004.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-2 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-2 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 1-2 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

**DETAILED ACTION*****Status of Claims***

1. This action is in reply to the application filed on March 12, 2004.
2. Claims 1 and 2 are currently pending and have been examined.

***Claim Objections***

3. Claims 1 and 2 are objected to as failing to use proper format. Please note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Burnett, U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2004/0267650.

**Examiner's Note:** The Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior art of record within the body of this action for the convenience of the Applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply. Applicant, in preparing the response, should consider fully the entire reference as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

As per **claim 1**, Burnett teaches:

- *automatically deducting money from one's paycheck and transferring these funds as a donation to the employee's organization of choice (direct deposit)* (see at least paragraph 0004: "Other organizations work with companies to get the employees to donate to these organizations through these companies. In this process, employees designate a certain amount of money to be sent to an organization through a payroll deduction process. In this process, the designate money is taken directly from the employee's paycheck and sent to the designated organization. With this approach, the individual does not have the responsibility of actually paying the money to the organization.").

As per claim 2, Burnett teaches:

- *the creation of a middleman service that is responsible for promoting, receiving, tracking, and ultimately donating the directly deposited funds to the various non-profit organizations* (see at least paragraph 0004: "These non-profit organizations have various activities in order to raise funds for various services that they provide to the community. Some major organizations have telethons and fund raising campaigns to generate contributions. Other organizations work with companies to get the employees to donate to these organizations through these companies. In this process, employees designate a certain amount of money to be sent to an organization through a payroll deduction process. In this process, the designate money is taken directly from the employee's paycheck and sent to the designated organization. With this approach, the individual does not have the responsibility of actually paying the money to the organization." and paragraph 0014: "The present invention provides a method and system that electronically collects monies from donor individuals and organizations and distributes these collected monies to designated charitable and other non-profit organizations such as churches.").

### ***Conclusion***

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - Winklevoss et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2004/0162775 A1, teaches *In another example, an employer may offer donor-directed asset management accounts as a benefit to employees. In this embodiment, an employee may have an amount of money withheld from each paycheck to be donated to an NPO and the employer may provide an account enabling the employee to direct the investment of the money. The employer may provide additional "matching" funds to increase an employee's donation.* (see at least paragraph 0112).
  - McDonald, June 2003, teaches giving to charity through payroll deduction.
  - Vesely, June 2003, teaches *Participating companies typically collect pledge forms and donations – often taken directly from employee paychecks – and forward them to PipeVine. In turn, PipeVine sends a check to the charities selected by the employees in exchange for a flat fee.*
7. An examination of this application reveals that applicant may be unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to

Art Unit: 3609

secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

A listing of registered patent attorneys and agents is available on the USPTO Internet web site <http://www.uspto.gov> in the Site Index under "Attorney and Agent Roster." Applicants may also obtain a list of registered patent attorneys and agents located in their area by writing to the Mail Stop OED, Director of the U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Rosen whose telephone number is 571-270-1850. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 am-6:00 pm est, alt Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Reagan can be reached at 571-272-6710. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Name:           Elizabeth Rosen  
Title:          Patent Examiner

  
Stefanos Karnis